Please evaluate the quality of the PhD thesis by taking into consideration all the items reported in the present form.

Thank you very much for your time and valuable contribution to improving the quality of our PhD programme and candidates’ research and thesis.

For general information, under the Italian system, the final PhD examination consists of a thesis presentation, held by the candidate, followed by a session during which a Committee of examiners ask questions to the candidate.
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*General Comments (please write a short comment and provide Recommendation. Pay special attention to the following aspects:*

1. *Originality and main original contributions of the thesis*
2. *Strengths and weaknesses*
3. *Possibilities of this thesis to lead to publications in high-ranking international academic journals, Conference Proceedings .*
4. *Technical depth and soundness of the thesis*

**Final Recommendation. Please suggest a possible outcome of your evaluation among the choices:**

🞎 PhD thesis not ready to be defended, major work is still need (> 6 months);

🞎 PhD thesis not ready to be defended, but the major revisions are addressable in 2-3 months;

🞎 PhD thesis ready to be defended after minor revisions;

🞎 PhD thesis ready to be defended without any revisions.
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*Evaluation Table 1 of 3 (Please tick the appropriate box using just X, please add a short comment if necessary (mandatory in the case of Fair or Poor evaluation)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Scientific soundness and significance** | **Ex** | **VGood** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Poor** | **NotApp** | **Comment** |
| Wide relevance/interest of the research theme  | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Objectives well defined and scientifically supported | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Adequacy of the methodological approach | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Quality of the experimental setup | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Novelty of the approach | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Contribution to knowledge in the field | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Quality of the results  | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Interest for applications  | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Discussion and conclusions valid and properly supported | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| How would you describe the technical depth and soundness of the thesis ?  | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| How would you rate the originality of the work ? | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Are the results of the thesis published in Journals or Conference Proceedings ? | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |

*Specific Comments (please write a short comment (if necessary) and provide Recommendation:*

*Evaluation Table 2 of 3 - Please tick the appropriate box using just X, please add a short comment if necessary (mandatory in the case of Fair or Poor evaluation)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document** | **Ex** | **VGood** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Poor** | **NotApp** | **Comment** |
| Quality of the Abstract (is it exhaustive?) | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Document organization. Suitable balance of the component parts of the thesis | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| How would you rate the overall organization of the thesis ? | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Are the objectives and the context of research clearly defined ? | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Is the state-of-the-art review and bibliography adequate ? | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Are conclusions and perspectives defined and appropriate ? | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Adequacy of the references | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Clarity  | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |
| Communication effectiveness | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |  |

*Specific Comments (please write a short comment (if necessary) and provide Recommendation:*

*Evaluation Table 3 of 3*

**Overall rating (mandatory)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Thesis organization and style of presentation. | **Poor** □**Adequate** □**Good** □**Very Good** □**Excellent** □ |
|  Quality of scientific and/or engineering contribution | **Poor** □**Adequate** □**Good** □**Very Good** □**Excellent** □ |
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**Please add any further comments that might be of help for improving the quality of the thesis and make it ready for the final defense, or for increasing the publication potential of the results.**

*Detailed comments (please use additional pages if needed)*